

ANTIOXIDANT CONSTITUENTS FROM LEAVES OF *Engelhardtia roxburghiana*

Hong Ji*, Lishan He, Zhuoting Zhou, and Mu Yuan*

UDC 547.972

Engelhardtia roxburghiana Wall. (Juglandaceae) is a subtropical tree grown in Guangdong, Guangxi, and Fujian Provinces of China. *Engelhardtia chrysolepis* Hance is a synonym of *E. roxburghiana* Wall. As a sweet tea, the dried leaves of the plant have been used in Chinese folk medicine for the treatment of obesity, fever, and pain. The leaves of *E. roxburghiana* have been reported to show multiple physiological activities, including anticoagulant, hypolipidemic, hypoglycemic [1], aldose reductase inhibitory activities [2,3], protection against oxidative damage [4], and protective effects on bladder function [5]. Phytochemical studies on the leaves of the plant resulted in the isolation of eucryphin, quercitrin, astilbin, isoastilbin, neoastilbin, and neoisoastilbin [6, 7].

In the course of screening for bioactive metabolites, we noticed significant antioxidant activity responsible for protective effects on bladder function [5] in the crude extract of the leaves of *E. roxburghiana*. Guided by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical-scavenging monitoring [8, 9], five compounds were isolated. Most of them showed potent antioxidant activity.

In the present study, *E. roxburghiana* growing in Zhaoqing of Guangdong Province was examined. Samples of the plant were collected in August 2007, and a voucher specimen was deposited at the Drug Research Center, Guangzhou Medical College. The dried leaves of *E. roxburghiana* (2.5 kg) were extracted with ethanol (70%) at room temperature. The crude EtOH extract displayed potent DPPH radical-scavenging activity (IC_{50} , 6.19 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). The crude EtOH extract was suspended in water and extracted successively with petroleum ether (IC_{50} , 23.53 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), ethyl acetate (IC_{50} , 3.72 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), and *n*-butanol (IC_{50} , 4.59 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). Guided by the assay [8, 9], the ethyl acetate extract (150 g) was subsequently subjected to a series of chromatographic techniques using silica gel columns (mesh 200–300) and Sephadex LH-20 to afford compounds 1–5. The five compounds were found to be identical with β -sitosterol (1) [10], gallic acid (2) [11], quercetin (3) [12], astilbin (4) [6], and dihydroquercetin (5) [13], based on a comparison of the physical, NMR, and MS spectral data with those of authentic compounds. Compounds 2, 3, and 5 were isolated from *E. roxburghiana* for the first time.

β -Sitosterol (1): $C_{29}H_{50}O$, colorless needles. ESI-MS m/z 415 $[M + H]^+$. The compound is identical to an authentic sample [10].

Gallic acid (2): $C_7H_6O_5$, yellow powder. ESI-MS m/z 171 $[M + H]^+$ [11].

Quercetin (3): $C_{15}H_{10}O_7$, yellow needle crystals, ESI-MS m/z 303 $[M + H]^+$ [12].

Astilbin (4): $C_{21}H_{22}O_{11}$, white amorphous powder. ESI-MS m/z 449 $[M - H]^+$. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectral data for 4 agree fully with those published [6].

Dihydroquercetin (5): $C_{15}H_{12}O_7$, white amorphous powder. ESI-MS m/z 305 $[M + H]^+$ [13].

The antioxidant activity of the crude extract, fractions, and the isolated compounds was determined by way of the radical scavenging activity [8, 9] using DPPH. Each test sample (0.1 mL) of various concentrations (0.11–12.0 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in MeOH) was added to 3.9 mL of freshly prepared DPPH solution (40 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in MeOH), and the mixture vortexed for 15 s. The blank sample consisted of 0.1 mL of methanol added to 3.9 mL DPPH. After a 90 min incubation period at room temperature in the dark, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. The tests were carried out in triplicate. The inhibition percentage (%) of radical-scavenging activity was calculated as follows: $I\% = (1 - A_s/A_0) \times 100$, where A_0 is the absorbance of the blank and A_s is the absorbance in the presence of the test sample at different concentrations. The IC_{50} (concentration providing 50% inhibition) was calculated graphically using a calibration curve in the linear range by plotting the sample concentration vs the corresponding scavenging effect. The crude EtOH extract displayed DPPH scavenging activity (IC_{50} , 6.19 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). The petroleum ether,

Drug Research Center, Guangzhou Medical College, 510182, Guangzhou P. R. China, fax: 86 20 81340243, e-mail: dljih@126.com. Published in *Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii*, No. 4, July–August, 2012, pp. 608–609. Original article submitted May 27, 2011.

ethyl acetate, and *n*-butanol fractions were evaluated for DPPH activity. The ethyl acetate fraction was found to be more active (IC₅₀ values for petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and *n*-butanol were 23.53, 3.72, and 4.59 µg/mL, respectively). Compounds **2–5** were proved to possess pronounced scavenging activity with IC₅₀ values of 1.46, 2.48, 3.65, and 6.85 µg/mL, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 30701051), Medical Research Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. B2010140), and Scientific Research Foundation of Guangzhou Bureau of Education (No. 10A257).

REFERENCES

1. Z. X. Zhong, G. F. Zhou, X. F. Chen, and A. X. Yuan, *Lishizhen Med. Mater. Med. Res.*, **11** (4), 495 (2000).
2. H. Haraguchi, I. Ohmi, H. Masuda, Y. Tamura, K. Mizutani, O. Tanka, and W. H. Chou, *Experientia*, **52**, 564 (1996).
3. H. Haraguchi, I. Ohmi, and A. Fukuda, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, **61**, 651 (1997).
4. H. Haraguchi, Y. Mochida, S. Sakai, H. Masuda, Y. Tamura, K. Mizutani, O. Tanaka, and W. H. Chou, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, **60**, 945 (1996).
5. R. M. Levin, Y. Kawashima, R. E. Leggett, C. Whitbeck, P. Horan, and K. Mizutani, *J. Urol.*, **167**, 2260 (2002).
6. R. Kasai, S. Hirono, W. H. Chou, O. Tanaka, and F. H. Chen, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **36**, 4167 (1988).
7. R. Kasai, S. Hirono, W. H. Chou, O. Tanaka, and F. H. Chen, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **39**, 1871 (1991).
8. M. S. Blois, *Nature*, **181**, 1199 (1958).
9. H. Braham, Z. Mighri, H. B. Jannet, S. Matthew, and P. M. Abreu, *J. Nat. Prod.*, **68**, 517 (2005).
10. D. W. Ness, R. A. Norton, and M. Benson, *Phytochemistry*, **31**, 805 (1992).
11. S. Wang and F. P. Wang, *Acta Pharm. Sin.*, **27**, 117 (1992).
12. C. N. He, C. L. Wang, S. X. Guo, J. S. Yang, and P. G. Xiao, *Chin. J. Chin. Mater. Med.*, **30**, 761 (2005).
13. Y. Wang, L. L. Zhou, and R. Li, *J. Chin. Med. Mater.*, **25** (4), 254 (2002).